

CANBY CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION
March 3, 2018

PRESIDING: Mayor Brian Hodson.

COUNCIL PRESENT: Tyler Smith, Greg Parker, Traci Hensley, Tim Dale, Tracie Heidt and Sarah Spoon.

STAFF PRESENT: Rick Robinson, City Administrator; Amanda Zeiber HR Director/Asst. City Administrator; Matilda Deas, Senior Planner; and Kim Scheafer, City Recorder.

OTHERS PRESENT: Fire Chief Jim Davis.

Mayor Hodson called the Work Session to order at 8:11 a.m. in the Mt. Hood Conference Room to discuss Council Goals and Priorities. He was looking for direction from Council on what to work with staff on.

Councilors and staff each spoke about a personal experience on how they received a scar.

Councilors Spoon and Parker arrived at 8:25 a.m.

A discussion took place regarding the current City Council Values and Goals. After discussion it was determined that no changes needed to be made.

Mayor Hodson said the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board was one of the most influential committees that they currently had and stood to have the biggest impact on the community.

Matilda Deas, Senior Planner, gave a history of the parks, surveys and acquisition plan that had occurred since 1999.

The Council discussed the following bullet points and what the priorities were:

Parks History –

- Surveys
- Parkland acquisition plan
 - Out of date
 - Ensure land in larger subdivisions
 - Analysis of national park standards
 - Brought in consultants for builders to provide land versus SDCs
 - Dedication ordinance
- Criterion
 - Meet Park Acquisition Plan & implementation plan
 - Parks master plan
- Willamette Wayside – portion purchased
- 2002 plan – how much implemented?
- 10 acres of developable parks for 1,000 residents (target)
- Willamette Wayside Master Plan

Parks Direction

- Devalue pocket parks
- Larger parks and trails
- Full use year round
- Public/private partnerships
- Stop taking pocket parks
- Include Parks & Recreation Advisory Board in SDC swap on park development
- Regional parks vs. neighborhood parks
- Better outreach to City committees on rules, duties, powers, and objectives
- Is the Parks & Recreation Advisory Board ordinance followed?
 - ID what current goals/objectives are for parks
 - Model after Planning Commission
- Survey shows desire for other amenities
- Don't accept land if not need or planned for
- Define standards of parks (trails, activity standards, 10 acres/1,000)
- Change in acquisition policy/plan
 - Money versus land
- How many acres of developed parks should the target be?
 - What is considered developed?
 - Logging Road Trail is not in calculation
- How are we determining park lands development?
- Goal: land acquisition versus dedicated land with money
- Decide on how we are going to make decisions
- Which data point do we look at?
- What type of community do we want to be – gathering places?
- Plans need to be breathable - look at every 5 years
- River development
- Review Willamette Wayside Plan
- Cost of consultants for park plan and acquisition - \$40K – 50K
- Parks on EFU lands
- Diversity of parks
 - Emerald necklace
 - Trails and pocket parks (lots)
 - Sports park facility (minimum)
- CAPRD
- Change wording in DCP's from "shall" to "may"
- Park Advisory Wishes
 - Prioritize development
 - Purchase/look at land
 - How that ties to current parks that need development
- How can we use SDCs (\$3m)?
- How do we define expansion of capacity?
- What are reimbursements fund and how we use?
- Eliminate word "developed" from park conversation
- Policy to purchase park land/acquisition
- Dedication
- Park destination versus money

- How we do invest money for future?
- Tactics
 - Land annexed- what do we do when this occurs?
- Traverso pond
 - How does it fit in?
 - Get in, plan it, etc.
- Dedication
- Council preferences for Parks and Recreation Advisory Board
 - Lightning rods/pinch points

Mayor Hodson recessed the work session at 10:10 a.m. and reconvened at 10:35 am after the Urban Renewal Work Session.

Mr. Robinson said one of the recommendations he was going to make in the upcoming budget was an Assistant Planning Director position. He spoke about staff succession over the next two years. He wanted to create capacity in the Planning Department.

He had been speaking with the Swim Center Manager regarding putting a community room in the back of the Swim Center. He had spoken with the School District Superintendent about a firm lease on the acquired cost estimates, and developed plans. There were funds already available in the pool fund. They were going through a preliminary process on the project. If the project went forward, it would be presented to the Council.

Planning Policy Discussion

- Generational development
- Lot size averaging
 - Easements in lot size average (Planning Commission)
 - Current policy is too loose/permissive
 - New policy – better discretion/less interpretation
- Developable land
 - Too permissive
 - Too vague
 - Add to definitions – accessibility of the land/size
- Knowing land value for park dedication and density transfer. We have control and can determine how much we want to take.
 - \$100k/acre
 - An approval criterion
 - Code changes
 - Disproportionate land dedication
- North Redwood Development Concept Plan versus South Canby Development Concept Plan
 - Too many landowners in NRDCP versus SCDCP
- C/R zoning as a back door for R1.5
 - Comp Plan question and correction
- Stub streets
 - Minimize and make fire truck turnaround
- Community land use notification
 - Current – 500 feet
 - Future – Increase to 750 feet
 - Money implications, what/who is noticed

- Increase of application fee
- Change wording “shall” versus “may”
- Planning Department list of code changes
 - Share list with City Council and Planning Commission
- Parking as part of park dedication

Council Adjustments

- Time limits – over the time limit for public comments on non-agenda items
- Change City Council meeting time from 7:30 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.
- Work sessions

Mayor Hodson adjourned the Work Session at 12:00 p.m.

Kimberly Scheafer, MMC
City Recorder

Brian Hodson
Mayor